IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

TUESDAY, THE 3RD JULY 2007 / 12TH ASHADHA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 49 of 1998()

MC.64/1997 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT, NILAMBUR DT.2.6.1997.

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: COUNTER PETITIONER

MANGATTUTHODIKA SHOWKKATH ALI,
S/O.MOIDEEN, AMBALAPPADI,
KARULAI P.O.,
NILAMBUR POLICE STATION LIMIT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.M.SATHYANATHA MENON

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS
----

1. **CHENAKKAL SUBAIDA,**
   D/O.SETHIKKOYA THANGAL,
   KAVALAMUKKATTA PO., AMARAMBALAM AMSOM,
   NILAMBUR POLICE STATION LIMIT.

2. **FASEELA (12 YEARS- MINOR)**

3. **NAJEEB (10 YEARS – MINOR) RESIDING -DO-**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.C.K.SURESH

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

CRL.RP. NO.49/1998

ORDER ON CRL.MP. 298/1998 IN CRL.RP . NO.49/1998.

DISMISSED

03.07.2007 **SD/- K.R.UDAYABHANU, JUDGE**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

tss

K.R. UDAYABHANU, J.

Crl. R.P. No.49 of 1998

Dated 3rd July, 2007

ORDER

The revision petitioner is the respondent in M.C.64/97 filed under section 125 Cr.P.C. The trial court has ordered the revision petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.500/- each to the minor petitioners 2 and 3. Respondent/revision petitioner stood ex parte in the proceedings. The matter was decided on the basis of the evidence of PW-1, the Ist petitioner. It is her version that the revision petitioner herein is engaged in construction contract works and is getting a sum of , at least, Rs.10,000/- per month. The petitioners 2 and 3 were school going children. The order was passed on 2-6-97.

In the circumstances, I find no reason to deviate from the findings of the court below, as the amount of maintenance being quite reasonable if not nominal. The Crl.R.P is dismissed.

K.R.UDAYABHANU,
JUDGE.

Kvm/-

K.R.UDAYABHANU, J.

CRL.R.P. NO.49 OF 1998

ORDDER

3-7-2007.